



SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

FLOOD CONTROL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

P. O. BOX 1810

STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA, 95201

TELEPHONE (209) 468-3000

FAX NO. (209) 468-2999

Kris Balaji
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS

ADVISORY WATER COMMISSION

May 18, 2022, 8:30 a.m.

San Joaquin County Robert J. Cabral Agricultural Center

2101 E. Earhart Avenue, Stockton, CA 95206

AGENDA

- I. Roll Call
- II. Approve Minutes for the Meeting of April 20, 2022 ([Attachment 1 – Page 2](#))
- III. Discussion/ Action Items:
 - A. FY 2022-23 Budget Discussion – Water Resources
- IV. Grants and Funding
- V. Staff Reports
 - A. SJAFCA
 - B. SJC
 - C. DWR
- VI. Public Comment: *Please limit comments to three minutes.*
- VII. Commissioner Comments
- VIII. Future Agenda Items
- IX. Adjournment

Next Regular Meeting

June 15, 2022, 1:00 p.m.

San Joaquin County Robert J. Cabral Agricultural Center

Commission may make recommendations to the Board of Supervisors on any listed item.

If you need disability-related modification or accommodation in order to participate in this meeting, please contact the Water Resources Staff at (209) 468-3089 at least 48 hours prior to the start of the meeting. Any materials related to items on this agenda distributed to the Commissioners less than 72 hours before the public meeting are available for public inspection at Public Works Dept. Offices located at the following address: 1810 East Hazelton Ave., Stockton, CA 95205. These materials are also available at <http://www.sjwater.org>. Upon request these materials may be made available in an alternative format to persons with disabilities.

**REPORT FOR THE MEETING OF
THE ADVISORY WATER COMMISSION OF THE SAN JOAQUIN
COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT
April 20, 2022**

The regular meeting of the Advisory Water Commission of the San Joaquin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District was held on Wednesday, April 20, 2022, beginning at 1:00 p.m.

Mr. Thomas McGurk, Chairman of the Advisory Water Commission (AWC), called the meeting to order at 1:03 pm.

I. Roll Call

Present were Commissioners Nomellini, Torres-O’Callaghan, Swimley, Herrick, Elizabeth, Panzer, Price, Neudeck; Alternates Reyna-Hiestand, Weststeyn, Valente; Secretary Zidar and Chairman McGurk. Also in attendance were Commissioners Hartmann and Winn who arrived after roll call was completed.

Others present are listed on the Attendance Sheet. The Commission had a quorum.

II. Approval of Minutes for the February 16, 2022 Meeting

Commissioner Mary Elizabeth requested an edit of the minutes to reflect her statement as follows.

“Commissioner Elizabeth requested Zone 2 monies be used and considered for mapping existing wells in a geo-located database.”

Commissioner John Herrick made the motion and Alternate Commissioner Stephanie Reyna-Heistand second to approve the minutes of February 16, 2022 with requested edit.

With none opposed, the motion passed.

SCHEDULED ITEMS

Chairman McGurk of the Advisory Water Commission (AWC), led the agenda.

III. Discussion Items / Action Items:

A. Hydrologic Conditions and Groundwater Reports

At the request of Secretary Zidar item A was moved to the second spot on the agenda’s Discussion and Action Items, to allow for selection of 2022 Chairman and Vice Chairman prior to official meeting items.

Mr. Glenn Prasad with San Joaquin County (SJC) provided an overview report to the group, encompassing the Fall Groundwater Reports and Hydrologic Conditions. An overview of the SJCFCWCD Groundwater Monitoring Program was provided, noting the monitoring and reporting of

groundwater levels has been occurring since 1971 and is coordination with CalWater, EBMUD and DWR. Also noted was the inclusion of 249 wells in the reporting, with most being monitored by County Staff. Mr. Prasad noted that 237 wells are monitored for water levels and 12 for water quality, with some variance due to circumstances such as destruction, construction, and accessibility issues. Mr. Prasad provided details on the changes made to the annual reports, such as refining the list of wells used, adding surface water reservoirs and river gages, revisions to the hydrographs, and expanded contouring efforts. Mr. Prasad also noted the development of a county-wide Data Management System (DMS) with the help of GEI, allowing for more readily produced annual reports and the ability to access specific groundwater level data.

Mr. Prasad provided graphs and mapping, tracking rainfall distribution at the Tracy Carbona Station and the Camp Pardee Station, and noting rainfall last year was down 30-50% from the normal. Additionally provided were graphs and mapping dictating surface water distribution, noting 50% reduction in storage at the monitored locations. Mr. Prasad provided details on the 2019, 2020, 2021 groundwater level changes, noting the changes from wet, dry, and critical years. Mr. Shawn Storey with GEI noted that new markers in the 2020-2021 mapping is likely due to monitored wells that were not monitored in previous years.

Mr. Prasad provided additional details on the groundwater level profiles, noting the three sections and explaining the cross sections A, B, C lows and how they become low at the North end. The group questioned if the South end is recovering or expanding at the North. Mr. Prasad noted it is expanding as it moves North. Additionally provided were details on groundwater contours and groundwater depression sizes, noting the cone of depression is getting larger. Mr. Prasad reviewed graphing which dictated the size, in acres, of the depression size, noting he would expect the cone of depression to get larger with dry years. An overview of the stats for dry years versus the 2019 wet year was provided. It was noted that historically, in a decade we see 7 dry years and 3 wet years and that according to DWR reports, 2022 is not look good. With that note, an overview of future projections was provided. Mr. Prasad additionally provided mapping and graphing showing groundwater quality, noting that while there is not a lot of information currently, there are plans to sync up with SJC Environmental Health to obtain more data for the County.

Commissioner Elizabeth questioned if the same wells were being used for these annual reports as are used for the SGMA reporting. Mr. Prasad advised they were not all the same, and noted that some were SGMA, Tracy Subbasin, and County wells. Secretary Zidar noted that the wells used are ambient wells, set for these reporting processes and were not drinking wells. Secretary Zidar added that some wells may be domestic or ag wells, but most are dedicated to monitoring.

Mr. Prasad provided details on the future refinements to be made to the monitoring program, which include improvement in responsiveness and timing, installation of dataloggers, resolving well access issues, implementation of DMS, and creating consistency with SGMA reporting. Secretary Zidar added that communication of information clearly, method of communication, and outreach would also be included in the monitoring program refinements.

Mr. Dominic Gulli, a member of the public, questioned the maximum water that could be stored and if there was a possibility of storing more. Discussion was had on the depleted acre feet of groundwater storage in relation to the amount recharged, noting that the long-term trend has been downhill. Secretary Zidar noted that storage is what needs focus and a portion of the ESJ Basin GSP is dedicated to doing that. Additionally noted was the storage capability of approximately 1-1.5-million-acre feet is not going to change, and the issue is not with storage capability, but rather with getting the water there. Mr. Storey added that GEI is developing more injection wells, allowing

for water injection during wet years to pull from during the dry years and that filling aquifer would require more reservoirs be built. Secretary Zidar noted that there is good science happening up and down the valley.

B. Selection of Chairman and Vice Chairman for 2022

At the request of Secretary Zidar, item B was moved to the beginning of the agenda's Discussion and Action Items.

Mr. Zidar requested nominations and appointment of the 2022 Chairman and Vice Chairman. Commissioner Nomellini made the motion to renew the Chairman term of Thomas McGurk for the 2022 year. Commissioner Swimley provided a second to the motion. With none opposed, the motion was approved.

Commissioner Price addressed the group, noting his desire to return to the Vice Chairman seat, with the understanding that he may potentially miss meetings. Commissioner Nomellini made the motion to appoint Commissioner Price as Vice Chairman with the agreed upon understanding.

Commissioner Nuedeck provided a second to the motion. With none opposed, the motion was approved.

The Commission appointed Thomas McGurk to the Chairman seat and Will Price to the Vice Chairman seat for the 2022 calendar year.

C. Drought, Water Systems and Wells

Secretary Zidar provided a brief overview of the current information on the drought, water systems, and wells, noting the water year ending September 2021 was one of the driest on record with below average precipitation and water storage conditions. Secretary Zidar provided presentation slides showing data on newly installed domestic, irrigation and dry well reporting, public supply wells, and domestic wells drilled. Secretary Zidar provided details on the reported dry wells, noting the numbers in Fresno/Tulare areas are worse in the state. The group questioned if the failure mechanism for these reported dry wells was known. Secretary Zidar noted that additional details could possibly be obtained from DWR.

Secretary Zidar provided a brief report on drinking water wells and the impacts the drought is having on them, noting we are all responsible to manage the systematic overdraft. Secretary Zidar discussed emergency response versus long term planning and noted local government roles.

1. OES Activity and Drought Response

Mr. Lowell Allen, Emergency Planner with SJC Office of Emergency Services (OES), provided an overview OES's role during drought conditions, noting his function is to facilitate and coordinate efforts to mitigate issues that arise due to drought conditions, as well as facilitate discussions and actions between agencies. Mr. Allen noted there is a scheduled monthly meeting of a Joint Task Force, which is composed of Cities, Irrigation Districts, Water Providers and State agencies and discusses a larger view of what is going on in the State and provides resources available to the SJC community. Mr. Allen added that OES is addressing dry well issues as they arise, through local proclamation. Additionally noted was the process for reporting dry wells to SJC Environmental Health (EH) and OES will assist with options and assistance available. The group questioned the funding assistance and if it was locally or state funded. Mr. Allen advised the loan programs were State and Federal Grant funded.

Additionally discussed was field following and how many were do it due to drought conditions. Secretary Zidar noted that none were being done and there is a need to get out of the planning mode and move into the action mode and use the solutions and water assets available to the basin.

The group questioned the outreach being done to homeless encampments by OES. Mr. Allen advised that at this time OES has not been asked by SJC Behavioral Health Service or Human Services Agency to assist with the homeless community. Additionally discussed was the mechanism for funding of OES and whether that was through the Safer Program or other grants being sought. Mr. Allen noted that as we are in a proclaimed state of emergency, his office is tracking impacts on and efforts of SJC agencies so reimbursement can be requested through existing State and Federal grant programs.

2. Governor's Executive Order, Implications, and Related Drivers

Secretary Zidar provided a brief overview of the Governor's Executive Order item 9, noting that both the local GSA and the SJC EH Department need to approve new well applications. Discussion was had on licensed Civil Engineers being able to provide details on the determination of interference/subsidence, with Secretary Zidar noting the SJC EH Department has the final determination. Clarification was provided on process; GSA makes determination and then application to SJC EH for their review and determination. Mr. Fritz Buchman with SJC noted that there are currently six new well applications pending while the process is finalized between GSAs and SJC EH Department.

3. ESJ GSP, GWA and DWR Comments/Responses

Secretary Zidar provide the Commission a review of the DWR comments to the ESJGWA GSP and the responses being composed on the deficiencies noted by DWR. Some of the comments and draft responses were shared and discussed.

4. EHD Well Permitting and Small Water Systems Regulation

Mr. Muniappa Naidu and Mr. Steven Shih with SJC Environmental Health Department (EHD) provided an overview of the new well permitting application review and approval process and how EHD is working towards a definitive process outline to comply with the new Executive Order. Mr. Shi noted there are a lot of moving parts to the approval process in compliance with order.

Discussion was had on conditioning well permits based on surface water versus the current groundwater basis. It was noted that GSA approval of new well permits would have to be based on the adopted GSP and ow it reads. Additionally discussed was the role of the GSAs, determining a process to be used, and the criteria being used. It was noted that GSA approval of well permit applications does not require SJC Board of Supervisor approval. The group discussed the well drilling depth levels and that a condition of permit approval should be depth, which may require an ordinance to adjust the standard for well drilling. Mr. Shih noted a new standard should be the same across the County and be data driven rather than situational. It was noted that DWR is in the process of updating well standards and that should be addressed as well.

Secretary Zidar noted that input from the GSAs would be gathered at upcoming ESJGWA Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meetings. Mr. Brandon Nakagawa of SSJID questioned if SJC EHD would be participating in the discussions at the TAC level, noting that what is occurring down South is chaos and that there needs to be real clarity on what the GSAs are going to do. Mr. Nakagawa questioned if the ESJGWA TAC was the appropriate forum or if a separate meeting with SJC EHD

and the GSAs needed to occur to discuss and determine the processes. Commissioner Elizabeth noted her support in the well permit application matter being addressed and discussed in a separate forum. The group noted the potential need for a county ordinance directing the process.

IV. Grants and Funding

1. Other – Proposed Sales Tax Measure

Commissioner Winn provided a brief overview of his proposed sales tax measure, noting work done and where the plan was headed. Commissioner Winn provided some details on transportation tax Measure K and how it's progress and revenue could also be done with a Water Tax Measure. Discussion was had on the amount of funding needed and how a tax measure like this could provide the needed funds to complete projects related to the basin's sustainability. Commissioner Winn noted that he will request SJC Public Works Staff to reach out for projects to potentially be funded as part of his proposal. A more detailed proposal will be brought back to the Advisory Water Commission in May for consideration of support.

2. DWR SGMA Implementation Grant

Did not discuss item due to time constraints.

3. Congressional Earmarks

Did not discuss item due to time constraints.

4. USBR WaterSMART

Did not discuss item due to time constraints.

V. Staff Reports

A. SJAFCA

Did not discuss item due to time constraints.

B. San Joaquin County (SJC) – DREAM Project Status Report

Did not discuss item due to time constraints.

VI. Public Comment: Public comments, adopted by the Advisory Water Commission on January 17, 2018, will be limited to 3-minutes, unless extended to the discretion of the Chair.

Mr. Dominic Gulli providing comment to the Commission, requesting the previously held Flood Control Technical Advisory meetings be brought back and held once again. Additionally, Mr. Gulli provided a written comment with photographs to be included in the meeting minutes. Mr. Gulli briefly explained a portion of his comment as being a request to have growing reed (bamboo) removed from areas of Smith Canal, Pershing Avenue Bridge. Mr. Gulli explained the reed type, rate of growth, effects it is having on the water flow and suggested it was something public officials should investigate. Mr. Gulli's written comment is included in the minutes.

VII. Commissioner Comments:

None provided.

VIII. Future Agenda Items:

None provided.

Next Regular Meeting: May 18, 2022 at 8:30 a.m.
Ag Center – Assembly Room 1

IX. Adjournment:

Chairman McGurk Adjourned the meeting at 3:28 p.m.

Dominick Gulli PE, PLS
209 649 4555
greenmountaindom@hotmail.com

San Joaquin Area Advisory Water Commission 4/20/22

C/O Matt Zidat@sjgov.org

Public Comment for Meeting of 4/20/22

V. 1. SJAFCA.

I request that elected public officials consider the details of SJAFCA's consideration of using San Joaquin County dollars to fund the Sutter Butte Flood Control Agencies prior projects. Reference SJAFCA's agenda for tomorrow's meeting of April 21, 2022 at 9:00 am.

- 5.2) Informational briefing on the opportunity to enter a Memorandum of Understanding for an Inter-Basin Transfer of Federal Credits to advance the Lower San Joaquin River Project

"There is a potential opportunity to purchase unused credit from another local agency at a cost that should be?? lower than cash.

The Sutter Basin Project has been completed, and due to construction advanced by SBFCA and the State of California for which the Federal government did not pay a cost share, SBFCA and the State of California have accumulated excess Federal credits.

Section 1020 of WRRDA 2014, as amended by Section 1166 of WIIIN 2016, provides authority for the Federal government to approve a comprehensive plan submitted by a non-Federal sponsor to allow for the transfer of excess credit from one authorized project to another.

The State of California, with April 21, 2022 (Page 2) AGENDA ITEM 5.2 assistance from SBFCA, has advanced an effort to get permission to transfer excess Federal credits from the Sutter Basin Project to other Federal projects, including the San Joaquin Project.

SJAFCOA will commit to bear its own costs on issues related to providing information on the San Joaquin Project and to timely respond to requests from SBFCA or the State of California for information regarding the process

FISCAL IMPACT There is no cost associated with this item. "

As a concerned engineer very familiar with the operation of SJAFCOA, I have great concerns when two public agencies, each controlled by the exact same consultants: (PBI (Recently transferred to R&F Engineering Inc. (Footnote 1) Scott Shapiro, Seth Wurzel, Kim Floyd, HDR, AECOM, are considering trading large amounts of funds. The question I request that the public official look into are:

- 1) Whose interest are the consultants serving. SJAFCOA or SBFCA? Surely Sutter Butte is benefiting from receiving money raised in San Joaquin County.
- 2) How can there be "no fiscal impact" when it will require extensive work on behalf of the consultants of SJAFCOA "who will commit to bear its own costs on issues related to providing information on the San Joaquin Project and to timely respond to requests from SBFCA or the State of California for information regarding the process"
- 3) Is the federal government incurring greater cost in that they will not be receiving cash but will be indirectly paying project for "which the Federal government did not pay a cost share"

Footnote 1:

Sutter Butte Flood Control Agency Board Meeting 2/9/22, Consent Agenda # 8 SUBJECT: Approve and authorize the Executive Director to execute a Professional Services Agreement and Task Orders 1, 2, 3, and 4 with R&F Engineering Inc. for Agency Engineer and Project Management Support

"Mr. Chris Fritz has been providing engineering consulting services to SBFCA since 2008 and has been serving as the Agency's Director of Engineering since early 2019. In January 2022, Mr. Fritz changed employers from Peterson Brustad Inc. to R&F Engineering Inc. (Rossiter and Fritz). It is recommended that the Board execute a professional services agreement and related Task Orders with R&F Engineering so that Mr. Fritz can continue to provide Agency engineering and project management services to SBFCA"

VI. Public Comments

Dominick Gulli PE expert in urban flood control issues such as FEMA flood mapping, National Flood Insurance Program Requirements, hydraulics, levees and internal drainage facilities. As a Trustee of RD 1614 along the north bank of the Smith Canal, whose constituents are also protected by the Calaveras River Levee system, we expressed interest in the channel maintenance and the extensive growth

of “Bamboo” Giant Reed *Arundo donax* (Poaceae) in the channel specifically near the Pershing Avenue Bridge.

San Joaquin Area Flood and Water District maintenance personnel indicated that this channel maintenance was not their responsibility and that the mow the channel and maintain the pilot (low flow channel) only.

The reeds are very prolific grow into a very dense mass that severely impede water flow. (REF A) UC Riverside Center for Invasive Species Research indicates:

Arundo is an exceptionally fast growing plant, growing about 4 inches per day and reaching a mature height of 25 feet in about 12 months. An acre of arundo can produce about 25 tons of biomass per acre for each of two annual harvests. The plant is very tolerant of a variety of environmental conditions and can be found growing in damp riparian areas, and it is tolerant of moderately saline soils too. Because stands of arundo are so dense native plants are typically displaced and the animals associated with these native plants are unable to utilize arundo so their populations decline also. In California, arundo infestations are particularly problematic because this weed chokes out native willows, it can provide a lot of fuel for fires which are typically very uncommon in riparian areas (arundo appears to be very well adapted to fire so fires promote arundo invasions in these sensitive habitats). In the Santa Ana River Basin in Southern California, the fire fuel load in riparian areas has almost tripled because of arundo infestations. During floods, large rafts of dislodged arundo can cause bridges to collapse as tons of arundo pile up around bridge supports. Because of the serious and widespread nature of arundo infestations in California, several counties have formed task forces specifically to manage to this weed (e.g., The Santa Ana River Arundo Management Taskforce, and Team Arundo del Norte). In North America arundo appears to reproduce asexually and seldom produces viable seeds even though this plant produces flowers. The major mode of propagation is via vegetative reproduction either by underground rhizomes, or from plant fragments.

The Giant Reed transpires significantly more water than native vegetation as (Ref A) States:

The economics of arundo's impact on water use has been estimated using data from transpiration rates. Arundo transpires 56,200 acre-feet of water per year on the Santa Ana River, compared to an estimated 18,700 acre-feet that would be consumed by native vegetation. If arundo were not drawing water from the Santa Ana River Basin there would be enough water to serve a population of about 190,000 people. If that amount of

untreated water (37,500 acre-feet) was purchased from the Metropolitan Water Association, it would cost approximately \$12,000,000 in 1993 dollars

See Also (Ref B) USDA Field Guide for Managing Giant Reed in the Southwest: TP-R3-16-11.

https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb5410114.pdf

Giant Reed is very difficult to eradicate as indicated in (Ref B) USDA Field Guide for Managing Giant Reed in the Southwest: TP-R3-16-11.

https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb5410114.pdf

Giant reed is not easily managed. Mechanical control options for giant reed are limited, and there are no classical biological control agents (insects, pathogens, etc.) currently available. In general, the most effective treatment to control giant reed is to spray a foliar systemic herbicide when plants are green and actively growing. Table 1 summarizes management options for some common situations involving giant reed. Control efforts will usually require 3 to 5 years of persistent, repeated treatment.

Physical Control Manual Methods Hand removal is very difficult, but digging can be used to target individual plants (usually < 6 feet tall). To improve handling, first cut the canopy near the surface by using a chain saw, machete, or pruning shears before pulling up the remaining portions of reed stems, rhizomes, and roots. Shovels, mattocks, or pick-ax are the most commonly used tools. The root mass and associated rhizomes must be entirely removed from the soil. Loose, rain-moistened soils are most conducive to hand pulling.

Uprooted material should be removed or burned onsite to prevent rerooting. For

recommendations on handling giant reed debris, see table 2. Mechanical Methods

Mechanical methods used to remove giant reed range in scale from individual plant treatment (digging out with a hand tool, backhoe, excavator, etc.) to broad scale clearing with hammer-flail mowers, root plows, rakes, etc. Mechanical control of areas with giant reed is usually more effective when used in combination with chemical control and the planting and/or seeding of desired native species as follow-up. Large-scale mechanical control usually causes major soil disturbance and interferes with native plant establishment. In some cases, there may be an increase invasion from other noxious weeds on cleared sites that will require additional later management. For recommendations on handling giant reed debris, see table 2.

Table 1:

Dense, nearly pure stand of giant reed located along a waterway; goal is to suppress or provide high mortality. Complete root removal is necessary for effective control but this is extremely difficult to accomplish using only physical methods. Mowing or burning followed later by spraying regrowth is usually the most practical approach.

Table 2. Debris management options

Remove debris Manual methods, such as cut only or mechanical methods. Plant parts will no longer be onsite to resprout; use choke-chain or rope to bundle debris or pile debris on a tarp to haul. Labor intensive and finding a disposal company may be difficult because debris is hard to transport.

The Giant Reed eradication within the flood channel would be very beneficial for flood control, water conservation and habitat enhancement. Eradication will likely be somewhat difficult and expensive requiring access, large scale removal and transportation and planting of native species to control resprouting.

Given that we are due for a major flood event less than 5 years (2027), the Giant Reed infestation will be much worse when the water rises. Enclosed are aerial maps indicating approximately 72,000 square feet of Giant Reed adjacent to the Pershing Ave, U.O.P pedestrian Bridge and the Pacific Avenue Bridges This issue should be investigated, a simple solution developed and funding obtained from the many available resources within the State and Federal governments.

Picture # 10 Pershing bridge looking downstream or westerly of the Calaveras River channel.



Picture # 20 Pershing bridge Looking upstream or easterly of the Calaveras River channel.



Picture # 30 U.O.P Pedestrian bridge looking upstream or easterly of the Calaveras River channel.



Picture # 40 Pacific Avenue looking upstream or easterly of the Calaveras River channel.



Picture # 50 Pacific Avenue bridge upstream side.




Item VII: Future Agenda Items:

Invasive Giant Reed Eradication, Drought mitigation and Habitat Enhancement within the Calaveras River Floodplain Restoration.

Thank You all for your public service and Please contact me if I can be of assistance or if you have any questions,

Thank You
Dominick Gulli PE, PLS
209 649 4555

10







CALAVERAS RIVER AT PERSHING AVENUE BRIDGE
BAMBOO FOOTPRINT = 31,000± SF



CALAVERAS RIVER AT UOP FOOT BRIDGE
BAMBOO FOOTPRINT = 27,000± SF



CALAVERAS RIVER AT PACIFIC AVENUE BRIDGE
BAMBOO FOOTPRINT = 14,000± SF



REV.	DATE	REVISIONS	DESCRIPTION

GREEN MOUNTAIN ENGINEERING
1314 Palmdale Ave. Ste. 100, Palmdale, CA 93550
209.470.6325

RD 1614
INVASIVE VEGETATION - BAMBOO
CALAVERAS RIVER
RECLAMATION DISTRICT NO 1614
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

PROJECT NO:	1614	APPROVED BY:	
DRAWN BY:	GME	DATE:	
DESIGN BY:	SB	CAD FILE:	220420_MASTER.DWG
CHECK BY:	DG	DATE:	2022-04-20
SCALE:	AS SHOWN	DATE:	

SHEET NO.	C1
OF	1
SHEETS	1

2022 ADVISORY WATER COMMISSION ROSTER, ROLL CALL & VOTE SHEET

(5 members necessary for quorum)

1:03

AGENCY	COMM	TERM	ALT	TERM	MONTHS														
					JAN 19	FEB 16	MAR 16	APR 20	MAY 18	JUN 15	JUL 20	AUG 17	SEP 21	OCT 19	NOV 16	DEC 21			
CDWA	Nomellini, Dante J. ●	12/11/23	Nomellini, John Dante, Jr	12/11/23	X	X	C												
CSJWCD	Roberts, Reid	12/12/22	Thompson, Grant	12/12/22	X		A												
City of Escalon	Alves, Edward B.	02/25/24	VACANT				N												
City of Lathrop	Torres-O'Callahan, Jennifer ●	12/12/22	Lazard, Diane	12/12/22	X	X	C												
City of Lodi	Swimley, Jr., Charlie ●	02/21/21	Richle, Andrew	02/21/21	X		E												
City of Manteca	Breitenbucher, David	03/13/23	VACANT		X	X	L												
City of Ripon	de Graaf, Daniel	06/28/25	Uecker, Dean	06/28/25	X		L												
City of Stockton	Dan Wright	03/14/23	Canepa, Paul	03/14/23			E												
City of Tracy	Sharma, Kuldeep	01/16/23	Reyna-Hiesland, Stephanie ●	01/16/23		X	D												
NSJWCD	Starr, Charlie	12/11/23	Valente, Joe ●	12/11/23		X													
OID	VACANT		VACANT																
BOS	Sup. Winn, Chuck ● <i>locke</i>	12/31/22	Sup. Miller, Kathy	12/31/22	X	X													
SDWA	Herrick, John ●	01/27/24	Ruiz, Dean	01/27/24	X	X													
SSJID	Holbrook, John	01/18/25	Weststeyn, Mike ●	01/18/25	X		X												
S/W County	Weisenberger, David	09/26/18	VACANT																
WID	Christensen, Anders	12/12/22	VACANT																
Enviro/Fish/Wildlife Org	Elizabeth, Mary ●	03/13/23	N/A		X	X													
Urban Flood Control RD	Hartmann, George ● <i>locke</i>	08/20/23	N/A		X	X													
Urban Flood Control RD	Panzer, Michael ●	08/20/23	N/A		X	X													
General Bus Com	Price, Will ●	08/21/23	N/A																
Bldg & Constr Industry	Neudeck, Christopher ●	08/20/23	N/A			X													
SECRETARY	Zidar, Matt ●	N/A	N/A		X	X													
At-Large Rep	Gau, Thomas	01/16/23	Annette Henneberry	01/16/23	X	X													
CHAIRMAN	SEWD McGurk, Thomas ●	12/11/23	SEWD Sanguinetti, Paul	12/11/23	X	X													
STOP HERE ON ROLL CALL																			
CA Water Service Co	VACANT	PERM																	



**SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
FLOOD CONTROL & WATER
CONSERVATION DISTRICT**

**ADVISORY WATER COMMISSION
MEETING OF APRIL 20, 2022**

ATTENDANCE SHEET

INITIAL	NAME	AFFILIATION	E-MAIL ADDRESS	PHONE
<i>Present</i>	Nomellini, Dante J	CDWA		
	Nomellini, John Dante Jr	CDWA		
	Roberts, Reid	CSJWCD		
	Thompson, Grant	CSJWCD		
	Alves, Edward B	City of Escalon		
		City of Escalon		
<i>Present</i>	Torres-O'Callaghan, Jennifer	City of Lathrop		
	Lazard, Diane	City of Lathrop		
<i>(Signature)</i>	Swimley, Charlie Jr	City of Lodi		
	Richle, Andrew	City of Lodi		
	Breitenbucher, David	City of Manteca		
		City of Manteca		
	De Graff, Daniel	City of Ripon		
	Uecker, Dean	City of Ripon		
	Wright, Dan	City of Stockton		

	Canepa, Paul	City of Stockton		
	Sharma, Kuldeep	City of Tracy		
	Reyna-Hiestand, Stephanie	City of Tracy		
	Starr, Charlie	NSJWCD		
	Valente, Joe	NSJWCD		
		OID		
	Sup. Winn, Chuck	BOS		
	Sup. Miller, Kathy	BOS		
	Herrick, John	SDWA		
	Dean Ruiz	SDWA		
	Holbrook, John	SSJID		
	Weststeyn, Mike	SSJID		
	Weisenberger, David	SW County		
	Christensen, Anders	WID		
		WID		
	Elizabeth, Mary	Enviro/Fish/Wildlife Org		
	Hartmann, George	Urban Flood Control RD		
	Panzer, Michael	Urban Flood Control RD		
	Price, Willard	General Business Community		
	Neudeck, Christopher	Building & Construction Industry		
	Zidar, Matt	Secretary		
	Gau, Thomas	At Large Rep		
	Henneberry-Schermesser, Annette	At Large Alternate		

Jsm	Chairman McGurk, Thomas	SEWD	
	Sanguinetti, Paul	SEWD	
	Westofyou, Mike	SSSID	
	Fitz-Bushman	SIC PA1	



SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
FLOOD CONTROL & WATER
CONSERVATION DISTRICT

ADVISORY WATER COMMISSION
MEETING OF APRIL 20, 2022

ATTENDANCE SHEET

NAME	AFFILIATION	E-MAIL ADDRESS	PHONE
Mel Lytle	Storkstrom	wel.lytle@storkstrom.gov	(909) 937-8438
Sean Storey	GEI Consultants	sstorey@geiconsultants.com	(916) 521-7727
Mitch Waiden	City of Stockton	Mitchell.Waiden@stockton.ca.gov	916-698-0255
Dante Jim Nondak	CDWA	ngnondak@pachell.net	209 465-5983
Dem Grull	RD 1614 / Grue	greenmou@airdson.com	209 649-4555
Jane Trossig	City of Lathrop	JTrossig@lathrop.ca.gov	209 992 0023
Lowell Allen	STC OES	LHALLEN@STC-GV.ORG	209.553-6200
Muniappa Naidu	SJC ETD	mnaidu@sjgov.org	209. 468-3439
STEVEN SHIH	'	SSHIH@SJGOV.ORG	209-468-9850
E Iba Miganq	City of Manteca	_____	456-8400
Krishy Smith	SJC PW		
Patricia Findley	SJC PW		
Juan Ochoa	SJC PW		